# **FILED**

### NOT FOR PUBLICATION

**JAN 17 2006** 

#### UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

## CATHY A. CATTERSON, CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

#### FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

MAURICE D. YARBER,

No. 03-16448

Petitioner - Appellant,

D.C. No. CV-01-03541-CW

v.

MEMORANDUM\*

TERESA ROCHA, Warden,

Respondent - Appellee.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Northern District of California Claudia Wilken, District Judge, Presiding

Submitted January 9, 2006\*\*

Before: HUG, O'SCANNLAIN, and SILVERMAN, Circuit Judges.

Maurice D. Yarber appeals pro se from the district court's judgment denying his 28 U.S.C. § 2254 habeas corpus petition.

<sup>\*</sup> This disposition is not appropriate for publication and may not be cited to or by the courts of this circuit except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

<sup>\*\*</sup> This panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

In his petition, Yarber did not challenge the constitutionality of his plea or conviction, and only challenged the constitutionality of the California state court hearing revoking probation and imposing a four-year prison sentence.

We dismiss this appeal as moot because Yarber's sentence has expired, and thus he lacks standing to raise any challenge to the sentence. *See United States v. Palomba*, 182 F.3d 1121, 1123 (9th Cir. 1999). Yarber's contention that his expired sentence will enhance a subsequent sentence is too speculative to create a case or controversy. *See Spencer v. Kemna*, 523 U.S. 1, 14-16 (1998).

All pending motions are denied.

DISMISSED.